

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,577	12/08/2003	John F. Murray	1014-056	3047
²⁶⁶⁵² AT&T CORP.	7590 09/12/200		EXAM	INER
ROOM 2A207			LE, DANH C	
ONE AT&T W BEDMINSTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

611-48							
FEB 1 6 2007 B	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
TARRESTITICE Action Summary	10/730,577	MURRAY ET AL.					
The state of the s	Examiner	Art Unit					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	DANH C. LE	2617					
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M a, cause the application to become	AICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 N	lovember 2006.						
	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	1.						
. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 17-27</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	, , , , ,	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			440				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	•					
	Adminier. Note the attack	led Office Action of Toffit 1 TO-102.	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	ĺ				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	its have been received.		į				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		•					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	en received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
AMochanouto							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	of Informal Patent Application					
	-, <u>-</u>						

Application/Control Number: 10/730,577

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

SETI

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop (US 6,377,782) in view of Schweickart (US 6,252,883).

As to claim 10, Bishop teaches a method of distributing broadband signals within a premises (figures 2-4 and their descriptions), comprising the steps of:

packetizing incoming broadband signals at a first frequency into RF frequency signals;

modulating the packetized RF frequency signals at a second frequency; and Bishop fails to teach providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to inpremises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises. Schweickart teaches providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to inpremises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises (figure 9 and col.5, lines 57-col.6, lines 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Schweickart into the system of Bishop in order to deliver data service directly to individuals through the mobile or portable devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/730,577

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 11, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10, further comprising a step of:

transmitting the RF frequency signals on cabling used within the premises for cable television signal distribution (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 12, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10,

further comprising a step of using the signal radiation devices to radiate the RF frequency signals, the signal radiation devices comprising radiative antennas coupled to the cabling near receiving equipment (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 12, the combination of Bishop and Schweickart teaches further comprising a step of using the signal radiation devices to radiate the RF frequency signals, the signal radiation devices comprising a leaky cabl¢ of the in-premises cabling (figure 9 and col.5, lines 57-col.6, lines 8).

As to claim 14, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10, further including a step of:

supplying the broadband signals by external cable (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 15, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10, further including a step of:

supplying the broadband signals by fixed wireless (figures 5-8 and their descriptions).

As to claim 16, Bishop teaches the method of claim 10 (figures 5-8 and their descriptions), further including a step of:

Application/Control Number: 10/730,577

Art Unit: 2617

supplying the broadband signals by DSL.

SET II

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop (US 6,377,782) in view of Ahmed (US 6,519,773).

As to claim 10, Bishop teaches a method of distributing broadband signals within a premises (figures 2-4 and their descriptions), comprising the steps of:

packetizing incoming broadband signals at a first frequency into RF frequency signals;

modulating the packetized RF frequency signals at a second frequency; and Bishop fails to teach providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to in-premises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises. Ahmed teaches providing the packetized and modulated IF frequency signals to in-premises cabling for distribution to signal radiation devices located within the premises (col.7, lines 12-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Ahmed into the system of Bishop in order to deliver data service directly to individuals through the mobile or portable devices.

Art Unit: 2617

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9, 18-27 are allowed as stated in the Applicant 's remarks on pages 16-37.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C. LE whose telephone number is 571-272-7868. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

January 7, 2007

DANH LE

PRIMARY EXAMINER